

How to write a good proposal

Oliver ZOBELL

Deputy Call Coordinator

20 April 2023







Get prepared to submit a proposal...

Study the call documentation

Select your partners

Plan your project and prepare the Technical Description (Template Part B)



A competitive bioeconomy for a sustainable future How to write a good proposal

Horizon Europe + CBE JU requirements



Annual Work Programme 2023

- Topics
- Specific requirements
- Cross-cutting elements
- Budget





outcomes

How to write a good proposal

Topic - Proposal - Evaluation

Topic	Proposal – Part B	
Scope	1. Excellence 1.1 Objectives and ambition 1.2 Methodology	
Expected	2. Impact 2.1 Project's pathways towards	

Excellence

2. Impact

pathways towards impact 2.2 Measures to maximise impact

3. Implementation

3.1 Work plan and resources 3.2 Capacity of participants and consortium as a whole



Evaluation



Horizon Europe

Evaluation Form (HE RIA and IA)

Version 2.0 26 April 2022



A competitive bioeconomy for a sustainable future How to write a good proposal

1. Excellence



Are the objectives

- clear?
- pertinent to the topic?
- measurable and verifiable?

Tip! Provide quantified targets whenever possible and meaningful.

Is the **state-of-the-art** well presented? Is it clear to what extent the proposal goes **beyond** the state-of-the-art?



Is the proposed work **ambitious** enough to be innovative, but not so ambitious that it is unrealistic?

Is the initial and targeted Technology Readiness Level (**TRL**) properly described and realistically achievable within the proposed project?

▲ The targeted TRL of a topic is required for at least the **core** technology, process or product developed.

Excellence

Impact

2.1 Project's pathways towards impact 2.2 Measures to maximise impact

ambition

3.1 Work plan and resources3.2 Capacity of participants and consortium as a whole

Proposal – Part B

1.1 Objectives and

1.2 Methodology



1. Excellence



1.1 Objectives and ambition1.2 Methodology

Proposal – Part B

Impact

2.1 Project's pathways towards impact2.2 Measures to maximise impact

3.1 Work plan and resources3.2 Capacity of participants and consortium as a whole

Does the proposal show that the proposed **methodology** is appropriate and effective for achieving the objectives (incl. overcoming challenges)?

▲ New! If AI-based systems/techniques are used, is their **(robustness)** demonstrated?

Does the proposed work comply with the **Do No Significant Harm principle**?



Is the **feedstock** to be used in the scope of CBE JU and in respect food-first & cascading principles?

CBE specific requirement

CBE specific

requirement

Does the proposal demonstrate improved **environmental performance** of the proposed processes/products? (sustainability, circularity and, if applicable, carbon removal)



Part B



1. Excellence



Proposal – Part B

1.1 Objectives and ambition 1.2 Methodology

Impact

2.1 Project's pathways towards impact 2.2 Measures to maximise impact

mplementation

3.1 Work plan and resources 3.2 Capacity of participants and consortium as a whole Are **relevant initiatives** identified (incl. BBI/CBE projects) and, when meaningful, appropriate interactions considered? CBE cross-1010 Are relevant digital technologies used? 1010 cutting element

Are relevant interdisciplinary approaches taken?

Are social, economic, behavioural, institutional, CBE crosshistorical and/or cultural dimensions of the proposed innovations considered, e.g. via contributions from Social Sciences and Humanities?

(only for IA incl. Flagship topics and selected RIA and CSA topics)

CBE specific requirement

cutting

element

MM Does the proposal describe how key actors will be involved via the so-called **multi-actor approach**?

In case of R&I activities with a relevant gender **dimension**: has it been considered in the proposal?



1. Excellence



Proposal – Part B

1.1 Objectives and ambition1.2 Methodology

Impact

Excellence

2.1 Project's pathways towards impact2.2 Measures to maximise impact

3.1 Work plan and resources3.2 Capacity of participants and consortium as a whole

Does the proposal demonstrate **open science** practices?

▲ In HE, 'open science' is more than 'open access': It is an approach to science based on open, cooperative work and sharing of knowledge and tools as early and widely as possible.

(only for IA incl. IA-Flagship topics)

Does the proposal's open science strategy include the **publication of LC(S)A output**?



Does the proposal provide details on

data management in line with the FAIR principles (Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, Reusable)?

▲ In the grant, there will be mandatory deliverables "Data Management Plan".



CBE specific

requirement





Proposal – Part B

- 1.1 Objectives and ambition
- 1.2 Methodology

Impact

Excellence

2.1 Project's pathways towards impact

2.2 Measures to maximise impact

mplementation

3.1 Work plan and resources3.2 Capacity of participants and consortium as a whole

Is it clear which **unique contributions** would be made to:

- the outcomes specified in the topic text? (medium term)
- the wider impacts beyond the immediate scope and duration of the project? (longer term)
- ✓ scientific
- economic / technological
- ✓ societal

Is it clear which **target groups** will benefit?

Have the **scale** and **significance** of the contribution been indicated?

Tip! Provide quantified estimates whenever possible and meaningful.

Have relevant **requirements** and potential **barriers** to outcome and impact been determined?

Have appropriate **mitigating measures**, within or beyond the project, been described?

 $\blacktriangle \neq$ management risks (3.1 Work plan and resources)



Proposal – Part B

- Excellence
- 1.1 Objectives and ambition
- 1.2 Methodology

2.1 Project's pathways towards impact2.2 Measures to maximise impact

mplementation

3.1 Work plan andresources3.2 Capacity ofparticipants andconsortium as a whole

▲ First version of the Dissemination, Exploitation and Communication plan = **admissibility criterion** (In the grant, there will be mandatory D&E&C deliverables.)



Is the plan to disseminate results to audiences who might use the results appropriate? **Tip!** Provide quantified targets whenever possible and meaningful.

Are actions included to **communicate** project activities and results to multiple audiences (beyond project's community)?

▲ Targeted D&C: Increasing awareness of potential benefits of bio-based solutions.



Is the strategy to **exploit** the project's results appropriate? (incl. management of IPR)

▲ Describe the main background IPR needed to carry out the project.







Proposal – Part B

1.1 Objectives and

- ambition
- 1.2 Methodology

Impact

2.1 Project's pathways towards impact2.2 Measures to maximise impact

mplementation

3.1 Work plan and resources3.2 Capacity of participants and consortium as a whole

(for RIA topics)

Is an assessment of the **economic viability** of the products and processes to be developed included?



(for IA topics)

Is a **business case** presented? Does it specify an inclusive **business model** that covers all actors of the value chain?

+ (only for IA Flagship topics)

Is a detailed preliminary **business plan** included as separate annex?

Tip! Provide quantified measures, targets whenever possible and meaningful.





- 1.1 Objectives and ambition
- 1.2 Methodology
- Impact
- 2.1 Project's pathways towards impact 2.2 Measures to maximise impact

+2.3Summary

mplementation

3.1 Work plan and resources 3.2 Capacity of participants and consortium as a whole

•		Ľ
Specific needs	Expected results	D&E&C measures
What are the specific needs that triggered this project?	What do you expect to generate by the end of the project?	What dissemination, exploitation and communication measures will you apply to the results?

	Target groups	Outcomes	Impacts
у	Who will use or further up-take the results of the project? Who will benefit from the results of the project?	What change do you expect to see after successful dissemination and exploitation of project results to the target group(s)?	What are the expected wider scientific, economic and societal effects of the project contributing to the expected impacts outlined in the respective destination in the work programme?





Proposal – Part B

- 1.1 Objectives and ambition
- ambi 1.2 M
 - 1.2 Methodology

2.1 Project's pathways towards impact2.2 Measures to maximise impact

mplementation

3.1 Work plan and resources

3.2 Capacity of participants and consortium as a whole

Does the **work plan** have a good and clear structure?

Have the different work packages (WP) been
scheduled and linked appropriately?
Are their inter-dependencies clear?

Are **milestones** and **deliverables** well defined and appropriately timed?

Are the WPs and associated tasks **sufficiently described** to provide a good understanding of the work involved and justify the proposed resources to be allocated?

- Table 3.1a List of work packages
- Table 3.1b Work package descriptions
- Table 3.1c List of deliverables
- Table 3.1d List of milestones
- Table 3.1e Critical risks for implementation
- Table 3.1f-j Staff effort, Different costs, Contributions





Proposal – Part B

- 1. ar 1.
 - 1.1 Objectives and ambition
 - 1.2 Methodology

2.1 Project's pathways towards impact2.2 Measures to maximise impact

mplementation

3.1 Work plan and resources

3.2 Capacity of participants and consortium as a whole

Are the described organisational **structure** and decisionmaking **mechanisms** appropriate to the scale and complexity of the project?

Are the **management procedures**, including quality management and conflict resolution, appropriate to the scale and complexity of the project?

Are critical **internal and external risks** related to project implementation described with appropriate mitigation measures and severity/probability estimates?

×↑ o×

- Table 3.1a List of work packages
- Table 3.1b Work package descriptions
- Table 3.1c List of deliverables
- Table 3.1d List of milestones
- Table 3.1e Critical risks for implementation
- Table 3.1f-j Staff effort, Different costs, Contributions





CBE specific

requirement

Proposal – Part B

- 1.1 Objectives and ambition
- - 1.2 Methodology

2.1 Project's pathways towards impact2.2 Measures to maximise impact

3.1 Work plan and resources

3.2 Capacity of participants and consortium as a whole Is an ex-post assessment of environmental sustainability

and circularity planned, incl. improvements compared with benchmark(s), of all the developed products/processes?



(for RIA topics) **Task** to use **early-stage data** to assess improvement of environmental performance

ormance requirement

(for IA topics) **WP** or **task** to assess environmental impacts and circularity using:

- (IA) life-cycle assessment (LCA) methodologies
- (IA-Flagship) life-cycle-sustainability assessment (LCSA) methodologies?

LCA and LCSA methodologies should be based on widely used **standards** and certifications and use accepted and validated approaches.

Open science: **Publication** of LC(S)A output is important!





CBE specific

requirement

Proposal – Part B

- Excellence
- 1.1 Objectives and
- ambition
- 1.2 Methodology
- Impact
- 2.1 Project's pathways towards impact2.2 Measures to maximise impact

3.1 Work plan and resources

3.2 Capacity of participants and consortium as a whole

Does the work plan include an action to develop **recommendations to targeted stakeholders**, such as:

- policymakers
- national/regional stakeholders
- investors
- brand owners ...



Proposal – Part B

- 1.1 Objectives and ambition
- Excellence
 - 1.2 Methodology
- Impact
- 2.1 Project's pathways towards impact2.2 Measures to maximise impact

3.1 Work plan and resources3.2 Capacity of participants and consortium as a whole

3. Implementation



Does the consortium bring together the necessary **expertise** for the project?

Has the **complementarity** of the participants been convincingly described?

Does **each partner** have a valid role and adequate resources (both effort and budget) to fulfil that role?

▲ If a participant requesting funding is based in a **third country** not eligible for funding: Is clearly explained why participation by this entity is essential to carry out the project?



Build a strong consortium

Map elements of Topic (Scope) and Part B (Excellence) to individual partners in the consortium.

- Are there any competence gaps in the consortium?
- Use the **networking opportunities** offered by the Funding & Tenders Opportunities Portal, CBE JU and BIC

During proposal writing:

- Significant level of upfront commitment from all partners is crucial, as costs are not eligible before project start.
- ➢ High level of confidence between partners is key.
- Talk early about handling of IPR these will need to be confirmed formally in the Consortium Agreement before signature of the Grant Agreement.









Annexes



O



- Excellence
- 1.1 Objectives and ambition
- 1.2 Methodology
- mpact
- 2.1 Project's pathways towards impact2.2 Measures to maximise impact

3.1 Work plan and resources3.2 Capacity of participants and consortium as a whole

(IA topics incl. Flagships)

BIC membership certifications

 optional and indicative during evaluation phase, required only upon Grant Agreement Preparation:
IKAA plan 'Overview of the total Additional Activity investments planned by participants and third parties to this action that are BIC members'

(IA-Flagship topics)

Detailed business plan



(CSA, only if applicable)

Template 'Financial Support to Third Parties'



Aim for total "Topic eclipse"



Topic⁺ = Topic text + HE requirements + CBE JU specific requirements + CBE JU cross-cutting elements F&T Portal Proposal template Part B: technical descrip Programme and Budget 2023 every requirement & crosscutting element counts! Circular Bio-based Europe Part B

every single word in topic text counts!

Thank you for your attention!



